- S. Varia	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/491,146	KHUDYAKOV ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas	1648
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Zachariah Lucas.	(3)	
(2) <u>Gwendolyn D. Spratt</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic	cant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 17, 19, 31, 33, 34		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
7.2-		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant and indicated that the claims would be in allowable condition if the following amendments were made to the claims:

the insertion of the term - - mosaic- - between the words "a" and "protein" in the phrase "A nucleic acid encoding a protein" in line 1 of claim 17;

the insertion of the term - - mosaic- - between the words "a" and "protein" in the phrase "A nucleic acid encoding a protein" in line 1 of claim 19;

deletion of the term "homologous" and the phrase "a species of" from line 2 of claim 31;

insertion of the phrase - - in said mosaic protein- - at the end of claim 33; and

deletion of the term "homologous" and the phrase "a species of" from line 2 o claim 34.

Applicant agreed to the changes and indicated that an Examiner's Amendment would be acceptable.